19th October 1927]

Mr. Basheer Ahmed Sayeed :—" Sir with your permission, I shall put a few questions on question Nos. 660 to 664. I was not in my place when these questions were called."

The hon. the President :—" The hon. Member is requested to read Rule 2 printed on the first page of the Questions and Answers. He will soon have his chance. But I may tell hon. Members that are absent that courtesy to the House requires that they should be in their seats when their questions are called."

Village karnams, etc., in some taluks of Anantapur district.

*707 Q.—Mr. G. Harisarvottama Rao : Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) what the population, the beriz, the total cultivated acreage, the number of pattas, the number of hamlets, the number of village munsifs in charge of the village and its hamlets is of (1) Kasunur of the Pulivendla taluk of the Cuddapah district, (2) Balapanur and Vempalli of the same taluk, (3) Palagiri of the Kamalapuram taluk and (4) Sanagalagudur of the Tadpatri taluk of the Anantapur district;

(b) whether these villages have assistant karnams attached to them ; and

(c) whether any of the karnams of these villages have been suspended for 'arrears'; if so, who they are and what their total service is?

A.—(a) & (b) The Government have not immediately available all the particulars asked for. The information has been called for and a statement will be compiled and laid on the table when it is received.

(c) The question is not understood.

Public Health

Water-supply to Mangalore City.

*660 Q.—Mr. J. A. Saldanha : Will the hon the Minister for Public Health be pleased to refer to Dr. Rama Rao's question No. 35 of 13th December 1923 and my question No 1276 of 8th February 1926 and to state—

(a) what steps have been taken for providing Mangalore with water-supply ;

(b) what projects therefor have been investigated and at what charges paid to Government by the municipality; and

(c) (1) what is the most recent project,

(2) how long it has been under investigation,

(3) whether there has been delay in completing the investigation,

(4) if so, why,

(5) what further amount has been demanded by Government for completing the investigation,

(6) whether further investigation is found by Government necessary after the hon. the Minister's recent visit to the place and enquiry into the condition of the site,

(7) what the estimated cost of the scheme is,

(8) what portion of it will be borne by Government, and

(9) when provision can be made for it?